

Reference/date	Community Question	Date	National Trust Answer
BR1/15 July	How will the proposed scheme work as a silt management solution if there is no engineered exit for water – silt water in, water with less silt out.		
BR2/15 July	If the scheme is designed to enable related works to re-establish meaningful areas of open water (respecting the important historical nature of the designed landscape inherited by the NT), why isn't it possible to define what the target is?		
BR3/15 July	What long-term plans (effort and budget) are there to maintain the scheme without it simply becoming full of silt and overgrown?		
BR4/15 July	What impact will the proposed system of diversionary barriers have on the current water levels (already consistently higher due to neglect of the current river and its flow) upstream from the scheme?		
BR5/15 July	When will a plan (including the relative roles and responsibilities for the NT and Highways) for road run-off silt be published?		
BR6/15 July	Have funds already spent, and those required to complete the proposed scheme (circa £200k, plus ongoing maintenance), been secured separately/in addition to the needs of the ongoing housing renovation plan?		
BR6/15 July	Why not focus on first getting the the basics (low cost, and easy to do) right. Deal with silt entering the Brook at Waterloo Bdrge through proper ditch and grip management - something that could have easily been acheived at anytime over the past 15 years. A joint plan between the NT and Gloucestershire Highways. Not as exciting as the current proposal, but a fraction of the cost and much more effective.		